

| | | | |
|---|------------------------|---------------------|--|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| | 10/662,806 | POPE ET AL. | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Matthew J. Smith | 3637 | |

All Participants:

Status of Application: Abandoned

(1) Matthew J. Smith, examiner.

(3) _____.

(2) William G. Ackerman, attorney.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 16 April 2007

Time: 10:35a

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
n.a.

Claims discussed:
n.a.

Prior art documents discussed:
n.a.

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Examiner Smith contacted Mr. Ackerman on 28 March, and 5, 6, & 16 April asking if an Appeal had been filed. Examiner Smith did not receive a response.

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)